IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
	Plaintiff,) 8:13CR211)
	vs.) DETENTION ORDER
NA	ARISSA PAPPAN,	
	Defendant.	}
A.		oursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail orders the above-named defendant detained
B.	The Court orders the defendant's deten X By a preponderance of the exconditions will reasonably assure X By clear and convincing evidence	
C.	contained in the Pretrial Services Repor X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: a conspirate violation of 21 U.S.C. years imprisonment. (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a crime (c)	f the offense charged: by to distribute methamphetamine (Count I) in § 846 carries a maximum sentence of twenty be of violence.
	may affect where The defendant Court proceeds	nt appears to have a mental condition which nether the defendant will appear. In that no family ties in the area. In that no steady employment. In that no substantial financial resources. In the does not have any significant community of the defendant: In that a history relating to drug abuse. In that a significant prior criminal record. In that a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

		Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
		(c) Other Factors:
		The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
		The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
		The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement
		(BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:
Х	(4)	The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's
		release are as follows: The nature of the charges in the Indictment, the
		defendant's substance abuse and criminal history, and the outstanding warrant from Dakota County, Nebraska
		wallalit Irolli Dakota Coulity, Nebraska
Χ	(5)	Rebuttable Presumptions
		In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied
		on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
	X	(a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
		assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
		of any other person and the community because the Court finds that
		the crime involves:
		(1) A crime of violence; or
		(2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
		X (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum
		penalty of 10 years or more; or
		(4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two
		or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
		above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for
		one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was
		committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
	Х	(b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
		assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
		of the community because the Court finds that there is probable
		cause to believe:
		 X (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
		10 years or more.
		(2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18
		U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and
		in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of
		violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment
		if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: June 14, 2013. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge